arXiv:1402.5021v1 [math.CA] 20 Feb 2014

LOGARITHMIC DERIVATIVES OF LEAST DEVIATION FROM ZERO

PETR CHUNAEV

Abstract. We study least deviation of logarithmic derivatives of real-valued polynomials with a fixed root from zero on the segment $[-1;1]$ in the uniform norm with the weight $\sqrt{1-x^2}$ and without it. Basing on results of Komarov and Novak and on a certain determinant identity due to Borchardt, we also establish a criterion for best uniform approximation of continuous real-valued functions by logarithmic derivatives in terms of a Chebyshev alternance.

1. Introduction

Logarithmic derivatives of algebraic polynomials (abbreviated to *l.d.*s in what follows) are rational functions of the form

$$\rho_0(z)\equiv 0, \qquad \rho_n(z)=\frac{P_n'(z)}{P_n(z)}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{1}{z-z_k}, \qquad z,z_k\in\mathbb{C}, \qquad n\in\mathbb{N}, \tag{1}$$

where $P_n(z)=\prod_{k=1}^{n}(z-z_k)$ and n is the *degree* of the *l.d.* Note that *l.d.*s are also widely called *simple partial fractions*.

In rational approximation theory, attention to *l.d.*s was paid by works of Macintyre and Fuchs [1], Gonchar [2] and Dolzhenko [3]. Later on, approximating properties of *l.d.*s were studied by Korevaar [4] and Chui [5], who proposed a construction of *l.d.*s for approximation of analytic functions belonging to the Bergman-Bers class on simply connected domains. Besides, the poles of the *l.d.*s were chosen on the boundaries of the domains. Such an approximation was motivated by the fact that *l.d.*s specify plane electrostatic fields, consequently, it can be considered as a determination of locations z_k of electrons creating a given field to a high accuracy.

Further investigations of approximating properties of *l.d.*s were initiated by Gorin [6], who brought up a problem of least deviation of *l.d.*s with a fixed pole from zero on the real line. At various times this was studied by many mathematicians (see [7] and the references given there). Then the following analogue of classical Mergelyan's theorem on polynomial approximations was proved for *l.d.*s with free poles: every function continuous in the compact set $K\subset\mathbb{C}$ with connected complement and analytic in the interior of K can be approximated uniformly on K with *l.d.*s [8]. In many respects this result entailed current intensive study of approximating properties of *l.d.*s and several their modifications on bounded and unbounded subsets of the complex plane (see [9–23]).

Key words and phrases. Logarithmic derivatives of polynomials, best approximation, alternance, Markov-Bernstein type inequalities.

Hereinafter we consider only real-valued *l.d.*s $\rho_n = \rho_n(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ (sets of their poles are symmetric with respect to the real line). Moreover, from now on we set

$$\|\rho_n\| := \max_{x\in I} |\rho_n(x)|, \qquad \|\rho_n\|^* := \max_{x\in I} |\sqrt{1-x^2}\rho_n(x)|, \qquad I := [-1;1].$$

Main results (§§2–4) of our paper are devoted to the following problem stated in [12].

Among all real-valued l.d.s of degree less than or equal to n with a fixed pole find the l.d.s $\widetilde{\rho}_n$ and $\widetilde{\rho}_n^*$ of least deviation from zero on I in the norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|^*$.

This extremal problem is one of possible analogues of classical Chebyshev's question about unitary polynomials of least deviation from zero on I and an analogue of Gorin's problem stated above in the case of a finite segment. We solve it in the class, being denoted by $\mathfrak{R}_n(a)$, of (real-valued) *l.d.*s with the fixed real pole $x = a$. Note that all results for I can be extended on the case of any segment with the substitution $y = \mu x + \nu$, $\mu > 0$. At that it should be taken into account that $\rho_n(x) = \mu\rho_n(y)$, therefore $\|\rho_n\|_{I_{\mu,\nu}} = \mu^{-1}\|\rho_n\|_I$, where $I_{\mu,\nu} := [-\mu+\nu;\mu+\nu]$.

Basing on results of Komarov [20] and Novak [22] and on a certain determinant identity due to Borchardt [27], we also establish a criterion for best uniform approximation of continuous real-valued functions by *l.d.*s in terms of a Chebyshev alternance[1] (see §5 for more details).

2. *L.d.*s of least deviation from zero on I in the norm $\|\cdot\|^*$

In [12], there was constructed the *l.d.*

$$\varsigma_n(A;x) = \frac{2nw}{w^2-1}\,\frac{A(w^{2n}-1)}{(Aw^n-1)(w^n-A)}, \quad x = \frac{1}{2}\left(w+\frac{1}{w}\right), \quad w = e^{i\varphi}, \tag{2}$$

where $A > 1$, $x \in I$, $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$. It was also shown there that the function $\sqrt{1-x^2}\varsigma_n(A;x)$ has an alternance consisting of n point in I, and $\|\varsigma\|^* = 2nA(A^2-1)^{-1}$. The presence of the alternance suggests that the *l.d.* (2) is actually the one, which is a solution to the problem stated above with the norm $\|\cdot\|^*$. And so we prove in this section. We use the following important property of the *l.d.* (2) below: all its poles belong to the ellipse

$$E_p := \{z : z = p\,\cos t + \sqrt{p^2-1}\,\sin t\, i, \quad t \in [0;2\pi), \quad p > 1\}, \tag{3}$$

where $p = p(A) := \frac{1}{2}\left(A^{1/n} + A^{-1/n}\right)$ is a parameter. This can be easily deduced from the denominator of (2).

As usually, $T_n(x) = \cos n\arccos x$ stand for the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind (with a leading coefficient 2^{n-1}), and $U_n(x) = \frac{1}{n+1}T'_{n+1}(x)$ do for the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind (with a leading coefficient 2^n). Properties of the polynomials are well studied (see [25]), therefore we take into account below without any additional explanations for example that $|T_n(x)| \leqslant 1$ for $x \in I$, $T_n(1) = 1$, $T_n(-1) = (-1)^n$, and $|T_n(x)| > 1$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}\setminus I$.

We now formulate the main result of the section.

[1] Let us recall several definitions. Let $f = f(x)$ be a continuous function in I. *Least deviation* of *l.d.*s ρ_n from f on I is the quantity $\inf_{\rho_n}\|f-\rho_n\|$, where the infimum is taken over all *l.d.*s $\rho_n = \rho_n(x)$. The *l.d.* $\widetilde{\rho}_n$, least deviating from f on I, is called a *l.d. of best uniform approximation of f on I*. It is said that n pairwise distinct points t_k in I form *a (Chebyshev) alternance* of the difference $f-\rho_n$ on I if $f(t_k)-\rho_n(t_k) = \pm(-1)^k\|f-\rho_n\|$, $k = \overline{1,n}$.

Theorem 1. *For $n \geqslant 1$, the l.d.*

$$\tilde{\rho}_n^*(a;x) = \frac{U_{n-1}(x)}{\int_a^x U_{n-1}(t)dt} = \frac{nU_{n-1}(x)}{T_n(x) - T_n(a)}, \qquad a > \sqrt{2}, \tag{4}$$

is least deviating from zero on I in the norm $\|\cdot\|^*$ among all those belonging to $\mathfrak{R}_n(a)$. Moreover,

$$\|\tilde{\rho}_n^*\|^* = \frac{n}{\sqrt{T_n^2(a) - 1}}.$$

It is easy to check that the *l.d.*s (2) and (4) coincide with each other. Nevertheless, we use our representation (4) for convenience of proofs.

Let us first prove several auxiliary results.

Lemma 1. *For $a > 1$ and $n \geqslant 1$, the function $R_n(x) := \sqrt{1-x^2}\,\tilde{\rho}_n^*(a;x)$ has the following properties.*

(a) For $x_k = \cos\frac{\pi k}{n}$, $k = \overline{0,n}$, we have $R_n(x_k) = 0$.

(b) The points a_k, $k = \overline{1,n}$, being roots of the equation

$$T_n(x) = 1/T_n(a). \tag{5}$$

form an alternance on I of the function R_n, and

$$|R_n(a_k)| = \frac{n}{\sqrt{T_n^2(a) - 1}}, \qquad k = \overline{1,n}.$$

(c) The poles of R_n belong to the ellipse E_a of the form (3).

Proof. It is clear that the numerator of R_n vanishes both in the endpoints of I and in the roots of the polynomial U_{n-1},

$$U_{n-1}(x) = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad x = x_k = \cos\tfrac{\pi k}{n}, \qquad k = \overline{1,n-1}. \tag{6}$$

This is (a).

To prove (b) we first find the extreme points e_k of R_n in the interval $I_o := (-1;1)$. By well-known properties

$$(x^2-1)U'_{n-1}(x) = nT_n(x) - xU_{n-1}(x), \qquad T_n^2(x) - (x^2-1)U_{n-1}^2(x) = 1, \tag{7}$$

after several simplifications, we obtain

$$R'_n(x) = -n^2\frac{1 - T_n(a)T_n(x)}{\sqrt{1-x^2}\,(T_n(x) - T_n(a))^2}.$$

The denominator of the fraction does not vanish on I_0 (see (c)), consequently, e_k, $k = \overline{1,n}$, are solutions to the equality (5). From properties of T_n on I_o and the inequality $0 < 1/T_n(a) < 1$ for $a > 1$, it follows that all e_k belong to I_o. Now let us demonstrate that e_k are the points a_k forming the alternance. Alternation in sign of values $R_n(e_k)$ is deduced from (5). Indeed, the polynomial $T_n(x) - 1/T_n(a)$ attains positive and negative values alternately on I due to properties of T_n. Furthermore,

$$|R_n(e_k)| = n\frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}|U_{n-1}(e_k)|}{|T_n(e_k) - T_n(a)|} = n\frac{\sqrt{1-T_n^2(e_k)}}{T_n(a) - T_n(e_k)} = \frac{n}{\sqrt{T_n^2(a)-1}}.$$

The conclusion (c) about the poles of the *l.d.* (4) follows from the property of the *l.d.* (2) indicated before Theorem 1 (see the text around the formula (3)). ∎

Lemma 2 (Komarov [19])**.** *Let*

$$P_n(x) = \frac{p'(x)}{p(x)}, \qquad Q_m(x) = \frac{q'(x)}{q(x)}, \qquad m \leqslant n,$$

where $p(x) = \prod_{k=1}^{n}(x - z_k)$ *has only simple roots* z_k *and* $q(x) = \prod_{k=1}^{m}(x - \zeta_k)$*. Then there are constants* γ_k*, such that*

$$P_n(x) - Q_m(x) \equiv \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\gamma_k}{(x - z_k)^2}.$$

The following lemma was proved in [19] under the assumption of validity of a certain determinant identity. We show in §5 that the identity actually holds (Theorem 4). Relying on it, we formulate the lemma in its final form.

Lemma 3. *For* $j, k = \overline{1, n}$*, let* z_k *be pairwise distinct real or complex conjugate numbers satisfying the condition*

$$|z_k| > 1, \tag{8}$$

and c_j *be pairwise distinct numbers belonging to* I*. Then the determinant of the matrix* $A := \big((c_j - z_k)^{-2}\big)$ *does not vanish.*

Proof of Theorem 1. Let us assume that there exists a *l.d.* $\rho_n^*(x) := \pi'(x)/\pi(x)$ of order $m \leqslant n$ belonging to $\mathfrak{R}_n(a)$, such that $\|\rho_n^*\|^* < \|\tilde{\rho}_n^*\|^*$ on I. We set $r_n(x) := \sqrt{1 - x^2}\rho_n^*(x)$. According to Lemma 1, the function $R_n(x) = \sqrt{1 - x^2}\tilde{\rho}_n^*(x)$ has an alternance on I consisting of the points a_k, $k = \overline{1, n}$, in I_o, therefore

$$\operatorname{sgn}(R_n(a_k) - r_n(a_k)) = \pm(-1)^k, \qquad k = \overline{1, n}.$$

Consequently, the continuous function $R_n - r_n$ (then the function $\tilde{\rho}_n^* - \rho_n^*$) reverses a sign at least n times on I_o and thus has at least $n - 1$ pairwise distinct roots c_j, $j = \overline{1, n-1}$, in I_o.

Both $\tilde{\rho}_n^*$ and ρ_n^* belong to $\mathfrak{R}_n(a)$, thereby there exist polynomials p and q of degree $n - 1$ and $m - 1$ correspondingly, such that for the denominators of $\tilde{\rho}_n^*$ and ρ_n^* we have $T_n(x) - T_n(a) = p(x)(x - a)$ and $\pi(x) = q(x)(x - a)$. From this by Lemma 2 we get

$$\tilde{\rho}_n^*(x) - \rho_n^*(x) = \left(\frac{p'(x)}{p(x)} + \frac{1}{x - a}\right) - \left(\frac{q'(x)}{q(x)} + \frac{1}{x - a}\right) = \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\gamma_k}{(x - z_k)^2},$$

where z_k are the (simple) roots of p. Hence the points c_j, $j = \overline{1, n-1}$, are also zeros of the sum $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \gamma_k (x - z_k)^{-2}$ (the polynomial p has no real roots on I due to the conclusion (c) of Lemma 1), and the system of linear equations

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\gamma_k}{(c_j - z_k)^2} = \tilde{\rho}_n^*(c_j) - \rho_n^*(c_j), \qquad j = \overline{1, n-1}, \tag{9}$$

with respect to the variables γ_k is homogeneous and the determinants Δ_k, $k = \overline{1, n-1}$ of the matrices with a replaced kth column are equal to zero. Let us recall that the poles of $\tilde{\rho}_n^*$ satisfy the condition (8) here, owing to (c) of Lemma 1 and the assumption $a > \sqrt{2}$. Hence by Lemma 3 the determinant Δ of the matrix of (9) does not vanish, consequently, the system (9) has the trivial solution $\gamma_k = \Delta_k/\Delta = 0$, $k = \overline{1, n-1}$. Thus $\tilde{\rho}_n^* \equiv \rho_n^*$, which contradicts the assumption that there exists better approximation.

The latter conclusion of Theorem 1 follows from (b) of Lemma 1. ∎

3. *L.d.*s of least deviation from zero on I in the norm $\|\cdot\|$

Several estimates for least deviation of *l.d.*s from zero in the norm $\|\cdot\|$ were obtained in [15]. In particular, it was shown there that if $\|\rho_n\| \leqslant b^{-n-1}$ for some $b > 2$ then all poles of the *l.d.* ρ_n lie outside the ellipse $E_{p(A)}$ of the form (3) with $A = (b/2)^n$. The following statement[2] is a supplement to this result.

Theorem 2. *For $n \geqslant 4$ and $a > \sqrt{2}\cdot(3\sqrt{n})^{1/n}$, let the l.d. $\widetilde{\rho}_n(a;x)$ be least deviating from zero on I in the norm $\|\cdot\|^*$ among all those belonging to $\mathfrak{R}_n(a)$. Then*

$$\|\widetilde{\rho}_n\| \asymp \frac{2n}{T_n(a) - T_{n-2}(a)}, \qquad n \geqslant 4.$$

For $n \to \infty$ the sign $\asymp$ can be replaced by the sign $\sim$.

We first prove auxiliary results. The following lemma is an analogue of De la Vallée Poussin's theorem (see [24, Ch. 1, §2]) for *l.d.*s belonging to $\mathfrak{R}_n(a)$.

Lemma 4. *Let the l.d. ρ_n belong to $\mathfrak{R}_n(a)$ and have the poles z_k being pairwise distinct and satisfying the condition* (8)*. Let there exist n pairwise distinct points t_k in I, such that $\rho_n(t_k) = \pm(-1)^k\lambda_k$, $\lambda_k > 0$, $k = \overline{1,n}$. Then for the l.d. $\widetilde{\rho}_n$, least deviating from zero on I in the norm $\|\cdot\|$ among all those belonging to $\mathfrak{R}_n(a)$,*

$$\min_{k=\overline{1,n}} \lambda_k \leqslant \|\widetilde{\rho}_n\| \leqslant \|\rho_n\|.$$

Proof. The right hand side inequality is obvious. The non-existence of *l.d.*s, belonging to $\mathfrak{R}_n(a)$, which norms are less than $\min_{k=\overline{1,n}} \lambda_k$ (the left hand side inequality), follows by essentially the same method as in the proof of Theorem 1, and we do not produce it. ∎

Lemma 5. *For $n \geqslant 4$, the l.d.*

$$\widetilde{\varrho}_n(a;x) = \frac{T_{n-1}(x)}{\int_a^x T_{n-1}(t)dt}, \qquad a > 1 + 1/n, \tag{10}$$

has the following properties.

(a) For $a_k = \cos(\frac{k}{n-1}\pi)$, $k = \overline{0,n-1}$, in I, we have $\widetilde{\varrho}_n(a_k) = \pm(-1)^k\lambda_k$, where $\lambda_k > 0$ and

$$\frac{2n}{T_n(a) - \frac{n}{n-2}T_{n-2}(a) + 2\frac{n-1}{n-2}} \leqslant \lambda_k \leqslant \frac{2n}{T_n(a) - \frac{n}{n-2}T_{n-2}(a) - 2\frac{n-1}{n-2}}. \tag{11}$$

(b) The poles of $\widetilde{\varrho}_n$ lie in the closure of the ellipse E_a of the form (3)*. Moreover, if $t_n(a) := a\cdot(3\sqrt{n})^{-1/n}$ exceeds 1 then all of them lie outside the closure of the ellipse $E_{t_n(a)}$ of the form* (3)*.*

Proof. We set

$$Q_n(a;x) := \int_a^x T_{n-1}(t)dt, \qquad f(x) := \tfrac{1}{n}T_n(x) - \tfrac{1}{n-2}T_{n-2}(x).$$

The following well-known identity $Q_n(a;x) = \frac{1}{2}\left(f(x) - f(a)\right)$ is used below. Throughout the proof $a > 1 + 1/n$ and $n \geqslant 4$. Simple analysis of f gives

$$-\frac{n-1}{n(n-2)} \leqslant Q_n(a;x) + \tfrac{1}{2}f(a) \leqslant \frac{n-1}{n(n-2)}, \qquad x \in I. \tag{12}$$

[2]As usually, for some positive sequences $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ *the equivalence* $a_k \sim b_k$ means that $a_n/b_n \to 1$ for $n \to \infty$, and *the weak equivalence* $a_n \asymp b_n$ does that there exist constants α and β such that $0 < \alpha \leqslant a_n/b_n \leqslant \beta < \infty$ for $n \geqslant n_0$.

From this by the inequality $\frac{1}{2}f(a) > \frac{n-1}{n(n-2)}$ we get $Q_n(a;x) < 0$ for $x \in I$. Furthermore, in the point a_k, $k = \overline{0,n-1}$, being zeros of $\sqrt{1-x^2}U_{n-2}(x)$ (see (6)), we have $T_{n-1}(a_k) = \cos k\pi = (-1)^k$, $k = \overline{0,n-1}$ since $T_n(\cos\nu) = \cos n\nu$. It proves the alternation of signs of $\widetilde{\varrho}_n(a_k)$. The identity $|T_{n-1}(a_k)| = 1$ and the inequality (12) yield the estimates (11).

Let us now prove (b). We first show that all roots of Q_n lie in the closure of the ellipse E_a of the form (3). To do so, we make sure that the inequality $f(a) < |f(z)|$ holds for all $z \in E_{a+\varepsilon}$, where $\varepsilon > 0$. Taking into account the identity

$$T_n(z) = \tfrac{1}{2}\left((z+\sqrt{z^2-1})^n + (z-\sqrt{z^2-1})^n\right), \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{13}$$

where $\sqrt{z^2-1}$ is the branch, for which the value equals 1 if $z = \sqrt{2}$, by direct calculations, we obtain that $|f(z)|$ attains a minimal value for $z = \pm r$ and a maximal value for $z = \pm i\sqrt{r^2-1}$ on the ellipse $\mathcal{E}_r := \{z : |z+\sqrt{z^2-1}| = r\}$ with $r > 1$ (i.e. when $z+\sqrt{z^2-1} = re^{i\varphi}$, $\varphi \in [0;2\pi)$). Since the ellipses $E_{a+\varepsilon}$ and $\mathcal{E}_r$ coincide if $r = (a+\varepsilon)+\sqrt{(a+\varepsilon)^2-1}$, by (13) and monotone increasing of $f(x)$ for $x > 1$, we thus get

$$|f(z)|_{z\in\mathcal{E}_r} \geqslant f(a+\varepsilon) > f(a), \qquad \varepsilon > 0.$$

Consequently, by Rouché's theorem, the polynomials $f(x)$ and $f(x) - f(a)$ have the same number of roots inside the ellipse $E_{a+\varepsilon}$. At the same time all roots of the polynomial f, as it can be easily shown, belong to $[-1-1/n;1+1/n]$, therefore to the closure of the ellipse $E_{a+\varepsilon}$ with $a > 1+1/n$. By arbitrariness of ε, all roots of $f(x) - f(a)$ (then all roots of Q_n) lie in the closure of E_a.

We now show that there are no roots of Q_n in the closure of $E_{t_n(a)}$ of the form (3) with $t_n(a) = a\cdot(3\sqrt{n})^{-1/n}$. It is only sufficient to prove that $|f(z)| < f(a)$ for $z \in E_{t_n(a)}$. To do so, we make the following observation. On the one hand, the extremal properties of $|f(z)|$ on $\mathcal{E}_r$, $r > 1$, and the representation (13) yield

$$|f(z)|_{z\in E_{t_n(a)}} \leqslant \tfrac{1}{n}T_n(t_n(a)) + \tfrac{1}{n-2}T_{n-2}(t_n(a)) < \tfrac{1}{n}(t_n(a)+\sqrt{t_n^2(a)-1})^n.$$

From the other hand, $f(a) > (a+\sqrt{a^2-1})^n/(3n^{3/2})$, which is easy to check. These imply the strengthened inequality

$$t_n(a) + \sqrt{t_n^2(a)-1} \leqslant (3\sqrt{n})^{-1/n}(a+\sqrt{a^2-1}),$$

that is valid for $t_n(a)$ mentioned, which, however, must exceed 1 (it is so for $a > (3\sqrt{n})^{1/n} > 1+1/n$). Taking into account that $f(a)$ is a constant, by Rouché's theorem, we finally conclude that the polynomial $f(x) - f(a)$ has no roots in the closure of $E_{t_n(a)}$. ∎

Proof of Theorem 2. All the conclusions of Theorem 2 follow from the analogue of De la Vallée Poussin's theorem for *l.d.*s (Lemma 4), where ρ_n is the *l.d.* $\widetilde{\varrho}_n$ of the form (10), and the estimates (11). The following observation should be only taken into account. Lemma 4 requires the poles of $\widetilde{\varrho}_n$ to satisfy the condition (8). It is easily seen from (b) of Lemma 5 that the condition is satisfied if the semi-minor axis $\sqrt{t_n^2(a)-1}$ of the ellipse $E_{t_n(a)}$ exceeds 1. It gives the condition $a > \sqrt{2}\cdot(3\sqrt{n})^{1/n}$, $n \geqslant 4$, in Theorem 2. ∎

4. Corollary of main theorems

In approximation theory, Markov-Bernstein type estimates connecting values of polynomials and their derivatives are well-known (see for instance a wide survey in [26, Ch. 5; Appendix A5]). Now we give a result of this type, which is a corollary of Theorems 1 and 2.

Collolary. *Let $n \geqslant 4$ and $P_n(x) = (x-a)p(x)$, where $a > \sqrt{2}\cdot(3\sqrt{n})^{1/n}$ and $p = p(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $n-1$ having no roots in I. Then*

$$\|P_n'\|^* \geqslant \frac{n\ \min_{x\in I}|P_n(x)|}{\sqrt{T_n^2(a)-1}}, \qquad \|P_n'\| \geqslant \frac{2n\ \min_{x\in I}|P_n(x)|}{T_n(a)-T_{n-2}(a)+3}, \qquad n \geqslant 4. \tag{14}$$

These inequalities are asymptotically precise in the sense that there exist polynomials $\mathcal{P}_{n,1}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ such that for $n\to\infty$

$$\frac{n\ \min_{x\in I}|\mathcal{P}_{n,1}(x)|}{\sqrt{T_n^2(a)-1}} \sim \|\mathcal{P}_{n,1}'\|^*, \qquad \frac{2n\ \min_{x\in I}|\mathcal{P}_{n,2}(x)|}{T_n(a)-T_{n-2}(a)+3} \sim \|\mathcal{P}_{n,2}'\|.$$

Proof. From Theorem 1 under the above assumptions, it immediately follows that

$$\frac{n}{\sqrt{T_n^2(a)-1}} \leqslant \left\|\frac{P_n'}{P_n}\right\|^* \leqslant \frac{\|P_n'\|^*}{\min_{x\in I}|P_n(x)|}.$$

Analogously Theorem 2 (more precisely, the conclusion (a) of Lemma 5 after minor simplifications) yields the inequality for the norm $\|\cdot\|$. Note that the former inequality in (14) holds already for $a>\sqrt{2}$ and $n\geqslant 1$.

We now prove the asymptotical precision. For $\mathcal{P}_{n,1}(x) = T_n(x) - T_n(a)$ we have $\left\|\mathcal{P}_{n,1}'\right\|^* = n\|U_{n-1}\|^* = n$, $\min_{x\in I}|\mathcal{P}_{n,1}(x)| = T_n(a)-1$, thereby

$$\frac{n\ \min_{x\in I}|\mathcal{P}_{n,1}(x)|}{\sqrt{T_n^2(a)-1}\ \|\mathcal{P}_{n,1}'\|^*} = \sqrt{1-\tfrac{2}{T_n(a)+1}} = 1-o(1), \qquad o(1)>1, \qquad n\to\infty.$$

Furthermore, for $\mathcal{P}_{n,2}(x) = \int_a^x T_{n-1}(t)\,dt$ it is true that $\left\|\mathcal{P}_{n,2}'\right\| = \|T_{n-1}\| = 1$, $\min_{x\in I}|\mathcal{P}_{n,2}(x)| \geqslant \frac{1}{2n}(T_n(a) - \frac{n}{n-2}T_{n-2}(a) - 3)$ for $n\geqslant 4$, and, consequently,

$$\frac{2n\ \min_{x\in I}|\mathcal{P}_{n,2}(x)|}{(T_n(a)-T_{n-2}(a)+3)\ \|\mathcal{P}_{n,2}'\|} \geqslant 1 - \frac{2(\frac{1}{n-2}T_{n-2}(a)-3)}{T_n(a)-T_{n-2}(a)+3} = 1-o(1), \quad n\to\infty,$$

where $o(1)>0$. ∎

5. Criterion for best uniform approximation by *l.d.*s

It is known that approximating properties of *l.d.*s and polynomials are similar in many respects. For instance, on has for *l.d.*s analogues of classical Jackson's, Bernstein's, Zygmund's, Dzyadyk's, and Walsh's theorems for polynomials [8, 21, 22]. However, there are fundamental differences as well. So, generally speaking, there exist no direct connection between an alternance and best approximation in the case of *l.d.*s. Moreover, a *l.d.* of best approximation can be non-unique. A pioneering example of this kind was given for $n=2$ in [13], then it was extended in [17] to arbitrarily positive integer n. Connection between best approximation by *l.d.*s and an alternance in the general case is still a question. Nevertheless, several analogues of Chebyshev's alternance theorem can be obtained for *l.d.*s with poles under certain restrictions. In particular, the following statement is valid.

Theorem 3. *Let all the poles of the l.d. $\rho_n = \rho_n(x)$ be pairwise distinct and satisfy the condition* (8)*. In this case ρ_n is a unique l.d. of best uniform approximation of the continuous function $f = f(x)$ on I if and only if there exist $n+1$ points forming an alternance of the difference $f - \rho_n$ on I.*

This theorem was formulated and proved by Komarov in [19, 20] under the essential assumption consisting in validity of the following determinant identity due to Borchardt (it was considered in [19, 20] as a conjecture).

Theorem 4 (Borchardt [27,28])**.** *For $j, k = \overline{1,n}$, let $\{z_k\}$ and $\{c_j\}$ be arbitrary disjoint collections of complex numbers and $A := \big((c_j - z_k)^{-2}\big)$ and $B := \big((c_j - z_k)^{-1}\big)$ be matrices constructed on basis of them. Then*

$$\det A = \det B \cdot \operatorname{per} B,$$

where $\operatorname{per} B$ *is a permanent*[3] *of the matrix B.*

In conclusion we note that in the even earlier paper [22], Novak, considering Theorem 4 also as a conjecture, obtained an analogue of Theorem 3 for the more narrow class of *l.d.*s with pairwise distinct real poles out of I.

References

[1] A. Macintyre, W. Fuchs, Inequalities for the logarithmic derivatives of a polynomial, J. London Math. Soc. S1-15 (3) (1940) 162-168.

[2] A.A. Gonchar, On best approximations by rational functions, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 100 (1955) 205-208 [Russian].

[3] E.P. Dolzhenko, Estimates of derivatives of rational functions, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 27 (1) (1963) 9-28 [Russian].

[4] J. Korevaar, Asymptotically neutral distributions of electrons and polynomial approximation. Ann. of Math. 80 (1964) 403-410.

[5] C.K. Chui, On approximation in the Bers spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 40 (1973) 438-442.

[6] E.A. Gorin, Partially hypoelliptic partial differential equations with constant coefficients, Siberian Math. J. 4 (1962) 506-508.

[7] V.I. Danchenko, Estimates of the distances from the poles of logarithmic derivatives of polynomials to lines and circles, Sb. Math. 82 (2) (1995) 425-440.

[8] V.I. Danchenko, D.Ya. Danchenko, Approximation by simplest fractions, Math. Notes 70 (4) (2001) 502-507.

[9] P.A. Borodin, Approximation by simple partial fractions with constraints on the poles, Sb. Math. 203 (11) (2012) 1553-1570.

[10] P.A. Borodin, O.N. Kosukhin, Approximation by the simplest fractions on the real axis, Moscow Univ. Math. Bull. 60 (1) (2005) 1-6.

[11] P.V. Chunaev, On a nontraditional method of approximation, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 270 (2010) 278-284.

[12] V.I. Danchenko, Estimates of derivatives of simplest fractions and other questions, Sb. Math. 197 (4) (2006) 505-524.

[13] V.I. Danchenko, E.N. Kondakova. Chebyshevs alternance in the approximation of constants by simple partial fractions, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 270 (2010) 80-90.

[14] V.I. Danchenko, P.V. Chunaev, Approximation by simple partial fractions and their generalizations, J. Math. Sci. 176 (6) (2011) 844-859.

[15] D.Ya. Danchenko, Some question of approximation and interpolation by rational functions. Application to equations of elliptic type, Cand. Sci. Thesis, Vladimir State Pedagogical University, Vladimir, 2001 [Russian].

[16] I.R. Kayumov, Convergence of series of simple partial fractions in $L_p(\mathbb{R})$, Sb. Math. 202 (10) (2011) 1493-1504.

[3] Let us recall the definition of a permanent. For any square matrix $M = (m_{i,j})$, $i, j = \overline{1,n}$, $\operatorname{per} M = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} m_{i,\sigma_i}$, where $S_n = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ (cf. $\det M = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^{n} m_{i,\sigma_i}$).

[17] M.A. Komarov, An example of non-uniqueness of a simple partial fraction of the best uniform approximation, Rus. Math. (Izv. VUZ. Math.) 57 (9) (2013) 22-30.
[18] M.A. Komarov, A criterion for the best approximation of constants by simple partial fractions, Math. Notes, 93 (2) (2013) 250-256.
[19] M.A. Komarov, Sufficient condition for the best uniform approximation by simple partial fractions, J. Math. Sci. 189 (3) (2013) 482-489.
[20] M.A. Komarov, Analogue of Haar's condition for simple partial fractions, J. Math. Sci. (2014) [to appear].
[21] O.N. Kosukhin, Approximation properties of the most simple fractions, Moscow Univ. Math. Bull. 56 (4) (2001) 36-40.
[22] Ya.V. Novak, Approximation and interpolation properties of simple partial fractions, Cand. Sci. Thesis, Institute of Mathematics, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Kiev, 2009 [Ukrainian].
[23] V.Yu. Protasov, Approximation by simple partial fractions and the Hilbert transform, Izv. Math. 73 (2) (2009) 333-349.
[24] V.K. Dzyadyk, Introduction into the Theory of Uniform Approximation of Functions by Polynomials, Nauka, Moscow, 1977 [Russian].
[25] J.C. Mason, D. Handscomb, Chebyshev Polynomials, Chapman & Hall / CRC Press, 2003.
[26] P. Borwein, T. Erdelyi, Polynomials and Polynomial Inequalities, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 161, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
[27] C.W. Borchardt, Bestimmung der symmetrischen Verbindungen vermittelst ihrer erzeugenden Funktion, Crelles Journal 53 (1855) 193-198 [German].
[28] H. Minc, Permanents, Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley, 1978.

Centre de Recerca Matemàtica, Campus de Bellaterra, Edifici C, 08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona) Spain

E-mail address: chunayev@mail.ru